

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/479,653	01/10/2000		HIDETOSHI WADA	35.C14165	3352
. 5514	7590	12/01/2005		EXAM	IINER
FITZPATR	ICK CEI	LLA HARPER & S	VENT,	VENT, JAMIE J	
30 ROCKEI	ELLER P	PLAZA			
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2616	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/479,653	WADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jamie Vent	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 and 34-37 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-29 and 34-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acceedable. Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-29 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Squilla et al (US 6,396,537) in view of Hull et al (US 5,806,005). [claims 22, 23, 25, 26]

In regard to Claims 22, 23, 25, and 26 Squilla et al discloses an image capture apparatus that communicates with an external recording apparatus, the image capture apparatus (Figure 2 shows the communication between each system which can occur wirelessly) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/479,653

Art Unit: 2616

 image capture unit adapted to capture a digital image (Figure 2 shows an image capturing system which comprises a digital camera 24 which acts as a capturing unit as described in Column 4 Lines 3-44);

Page 3

- recording unit adapted to record the digital image captured by the image capture
 unit on a recording medium (Figure 2 the image is recorded onto the memory 48
 for temporary storage before transmission to permanent storage in a memory of
 a computer, a CD, printed pictures, etc, as described in Column 5 Lines 5-17);
- a control unit adapted to judge whether or not the digital image recorded on the
 recording medium is already recorded in the external recording apparatus (Figure
 2 shows the control units 83 and 76 which judge which digital images have been
 recorded);
- wherein said control unit automatically transmits the digital image recorded on
 the recording medium to the external recording apparatus if it is said judged that
 the digital image recorded on the recording medium is not already recorded in
 the external recording apparatus (Figure 2 further shows the transmission of the
 image through the desired medium when it is determined that the digital image
 needs to be recorded);
- wherein the control unit avoids transmitting the digital image recorded on the
 recording medium to the external recording apparatus if it is judged that the
 digital image recorded on the recording medium is already recorded in the
 external recording apparatus (Column 6 Lines 20-50 describes the control unit
 avoiding transmission if it is judged that the image has been previously
 recorded):

Art Unit: 2616

- image capture apparatus has an operation mode of automatically transmitting a digital image which is not recorded in the recording apparatus to the recording apparatus and determining whether or not to avoid reproducing a digital image which is already recorded in the recording apparatus from the recording medium (Column 4 Lines 16+ transmitting of images and Column 4 Lines 55 automatically transmitting of images from camera to the CPU while camera is in the communicating range of the image spot and as further seen in Figure 4 it is determined if the content is desired and further determining whether or not to record the image as stated in Column 8 Lines 39-56);
- image capture apparatus communicates with a recording apparatus using a wireless communication unit (Figure 2 element 20 and 82 allows wireless communication between the camera 24, CPU 10, and server 70); and
- Determining whether or not to avoid reproducing a digital image which is already recorded in the recording apparatus from the recording medium (Figure 6 shows the operation mode of recording unit when the pictures are received in step 140 (film for cameras are dropped off or are previously transmitted via wireless transmission) the film processor connects to the image server which judges whether to skip or delete a reproduction if the picture does not fit the desired content from the personality file, step 144 or 150. Another operation ode step 146 also provides the user the opportunity to decide whether the pictures are to be deleted or skipped before purchasing by comparison of pictures taken with database needs as further described in Column 8 Lines 1-56); however, fails to clearly disclose that the signal is sent wirelessly to the recording apparatus.

Page 5

Hull et al discloses a wireless image transfer system that transfers data from the camera to the recording apparatus. It is noted in Figure 1 shows the wireless transmission as further stated Column 2 Lines 1-25 and thereby judges. The wireless transmission allow for more efficient transfers between camera and recording apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the image capture device, as disclosed by Sequila et al, and incorporate a wireless transfer between the camera and recording apparatus, as disclosed by Hull et al.

[claims 24, 27, 28, 29]

In regard to Claims 24, 27, 28, and 29, Squilla et al discloses the image communication apparatus includes a camera (Figure 1 Element 24 and further described in Column 4 Line 4). [claims 34, 35, 36, & 37]

In regard to Claims 34, 35, 36, and 37, Squilla et al discloses the image capture apparatus wherein the control unit judges whether or not the digital image recorded on the recording medium is already recorded in the external recording apparatus using a list received from the external recording apparatus (Figure 6 shows the uploading of the image to the image server and wherein it is determined if the image is to be recorded or already recorded as further described in Column 9 Lines 8-35).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Maurinus et al (US 5,606,365);
- Brusewitz (US 6038257).

Application/Control Number: 09/479,653

Art Unit: 2616

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamie Vent

James J. Groody
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 202 2000

Page 6